AGORA International Journal of Economical Sciences, http://univagora.ro/jour/index.php/aijes
ISSN 2067-3310, E-ISSN 2067-7669
Vol. 19, No. 1 (2025), pp. 114-123

RISK IN FINANCIAL DECISION-MAKING: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR INVESTORS AND CORPORATE MANAGERS

K. HRYTSIV, J. KARTAŠOVA

Kateryna Hrytsiv[1] Jekaterina Kartašova[2]
[1 2] Vilnius University Business School, Lithuania
[1] https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9053-3069, E-mail: kateryna.hrytsiv@outlook.com
[2] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3774-1817, E-mail: jekaterina.kartasova@vm.vu.lt

***Abstract**: This paper explores the multifaceted nature of risk in financial decision-making by integrating traditional finance models with insights from behavioural finance. It assesses the application of models such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC), and Risk-Adjusted Discount Rates in real-world scenarios, examining how their effectiveness is influenced by psychological biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd behaviour. The study illustrates the impact of psychological and emotional factors on individual investor actions and corporate long-term capital investment decisions through a practical application example. The findings advocate for a comprehensive approach that combines computational tools with behaviorally informed human judgment, aiming to enhance risk analysis and improve financial returns for investors and managers.*

***Keywords:** Risk perception, behavioural finance, capital budgeting, CAPM, WACC, investment decision-making, risk-adjusted discount rate, overconfidence, loss aversion, cognitive biases, financial strategy, Warren Buffett, case study analysis.*

INTRODUCTION

Risk is a fundamental component of the financial decision-making process, affecting all levels of economic activity, from personal investment decisions to corporate decisions on capital allocation. Decision-makers, whether they are picking a basket of stocks or evaluating a multimillion-dollar project, have to come to terms with an uncertain future. In financial markets, risk is not an obstacle but a return generator, a value driver, and a strategic consideration. Insurers endure enormous risks, so the ability to assess, price, and manage risk is critical to sustained financial success.

There are many factors that contribute to financial risk, including market volatility and interest rate changes, as well as company-specific uncertainties, such as inefficiencies or a high debt load. Risk is generally divided into two categories for analytical purposes: systematic risk, which affects the entire market, and unsystematic risk, which is unique to an individual company or industry. The business risk – the risk arising from earnings fluctuations caused by operational conditions – and the economic risk – the risk added by using debt – also add to the uncertainty in the financial arrangements of the firms and the investors.

Risk perception and risk tolerance are also highly divergent. Behavioural finance has demonstrated that cognitive biases, such as risk aversion, overconfidence, and loss aversion, are key determinants of investment conduct and are likely to result in behaviour inconsistent

with a rational economic model. When developing a realistic financial decision model, it is essential to incorporate these behavioural complicating factors.

I advance a framework for integrating conventional finance theories, including the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), adjusted discount rates, and diversification benefits, with behavioural economic thinking. This perspective intends to provide investors and corporate managers with a richer basis to analyse the role of risk within the decision-making contexts of capital budgeting, portfolio selection, and strategic decision-making. The model is instrumental in a global, high-information world where good uncertainty management can be a source of capital and a competitive edge.

1. Literature review

1.1. Types of Financial Risk and Their Implications

Risk factors are present at every stage of financial decision-making. Systematic risk is the kind that comes with the market as a whole: recessions, political upheaval and natural disasters. These risks are in all investments and cannot be diversified away (otherwise known as non-diversifiable risk). On the other hand, unsystematic risk concerns individual companies or industries and may include, for example, the new CEO's decisions, product recalls or regulation changes. According to (Aswath Damodaran, 2008), there is no way to remove systematic risk via diversification, and this type of risk must be priced by using models like CAPM. Meanwhile, unsystematic risk can be managed by choosing an appropriate asset allocation. (diversification).

Business risk, which is characterised by fluctuations in operating performance, and financial risk, which stems from operating with both leverage and fixed financing commitments, are essential to both shareholders and corporate managers (Chen et al., 2010). Highly leveraged companies are particularly at risk in turbulent conditions. Warren Buffett often notes that risk should not be confused with volatility but rather be defined as “the probability of permanent loss of capital” and emphasises that investors and managers across the world should act responsibly against the misallocation of financial risk on their balance sheets (Buffett & Cunningham, 1998).

1.2. Risk Perception and Capital Budgeting

Risk perception inevitably colours capital allocation judgments. While the standard financial practice has long endorsed employing Risk-Adjusted Discount Rates to appraise investment propositions, uncertainty remains an inexact science. This valuation approach likewise reconsiders the discount rate contingent on a project's risks, ascribing elevated risks to higher rates and accordingly diminished present values. Though fraught with unpredictability, some ventures offer outsized returns sufficient to justify looser security standards. Overall, quantifying vulnerability informs but does not dictate choice, the final call demanding a blend of calculation and intuition.

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is necessary for calculating the reasonable required rate of return for an investment, considering the risk-free rate, the beta of the investment, and the expected market return (Investopedia, n.d.). However, CAPM has faced criticism for relying on assumptions such as investor rationality and market efficiency.

Behavioural finance calls into question such premises, indicating that investors' behaviour is often irrational as they are influenced by several cognitive biases (Gervais et al., 2009)

While behavioural finance identifies several psychological factors influencing investment choices, like over-trading due to overconfidence skewing risk assessment, optimising decision-making requires acknowledging such cognitive biases. A manager underestimating downside risks from overestimating a project's returns could lead to subpar capital allocation (Gervais et al., 2009). Conversely, loss-averse investors, disproportionately fearing the potential for losses compared to probable gains, may spurn worthwhile opportunities. However, recognising how the human mind frequently diverges from rationality opens doors to compensating for inherent cognitive limitations and improving outcomes.

Ulrich Reinhardt points out that "danger perception isn't just about calculations; it's also strongly influenced by personal experiences and emotions." Research has shown that individual psychological traits and personal financial habits significantly affect how much financial risk someone is willing to take and their investment choices.

Although traditional capital budgeting principles are a helpful guide, a richer analysis must take into account the behavioural dimension affecting investment calculus. Traditional models tend to feature rational agents who take a dispassionate look at risk and return. But psychological tendencies shade our outlook and our choices more than we realise. By considering cognitive biases, emotional influences, and real-world constraints, the analysis assesses investments more similar to reality. Sophisticated projects with high levels of uncertainty cause us to feel more anxiety, which influences our risk tolerance in ways that are less predictable than perfect models provide. By acknowledging that both rational and emotional explanations of behaviour matter, and with a blended quantitative and qualitative approach, insight is gained beyond that offered by the numbers into how strategies will be perceived and whether the risks entailed in them will appear to be worth taking.

1.3. Behavioural Aspects Influencing Financial Decisions

Contrary to classical economic postulates, findings from behavioural finance uncover that emotional biases considerably colour fiscal determinations. Aversion toward unpredictability, overconfidence in one's forecasts, and intensified melancholy from deficits are regularly observable investor behaviours which psychologists have demonstrated for years. Such prejudices can lead to irrational allotments of assets, insufficient diversifying of holdings, or excessive interchange of properties.

Bunyamin and Abdul Wahab (2022) find that an investor's risk tolerance is a product of financial behaviour, particularly in a highly volatile market. This is supported by Damodaran (2008), who states that inconsistencies in behaviour often derail strategic risk management. "The stock market is there to transfer money from the Active to the Patient." This is a warning against the trap of buying and selling in response to fear and greed (Buffett & Cunningham, 1998).

The Dot-Com Bubble is a typical example of FOMO (fear of missing out) and syndicated inflexibility driving investors away from basic risk fundamentals to chase speculative returns. During periods of behavioural entrenchment, these kinds of events illustrate how emotions can come before objective assessment, resulting in violent mispricings and resets.

1.4. Integrating Traditional and Behavioural Perspectives

Financial decisions today require a union of quantitative models and psychological insights. As (Musa et al., 2015) argues, effective governance frameworks must balance strategic risk controls with consciousness of behavioural risks. CAPM, WACC, and risk-adjusted return models provide us with the structure to make such assessments, but the actual effect on people's lives, so far as anyone can smell, depends heavily on human judgment.

This is where Warren Buffett's approach becomes relevant. He emphasises simplicity, rational thinking, and a long-term perspective, focusing on a business's fundamentals, quality, and integrity of management (Wiley, 2010; Hathaway Inc, n.d.). His impressive track record of consistently outperforming the market illustrates that a solid grasp of intrinsic value and emotional discipline can often surpass even the most advanced financial models.

(Aswath Damodaran, 2008) points out that the ideal risk level in a company's risk-taking strategy should align with its ability to handle risk and the manager's capability to evaluate it. This perspective highlights the importance of connecting traditional financial frameworks with the realities of human behaviour, which is essential for making sound, resilient financial decisions.

2. Methodology

This study presents a qualitative case analysis aimed at exploring the influence of risk perceptions and behavioural biases on capital budgeting and investment decisions. Our objective is to better understand how these elements may affect decision-making processes when individuals encounter real financial challenges.

Cases were selected on the basis that they met the following criteria:

1) Relevance: A direct relationship existed between risk perception and behavioural biases on the one hand and capital budget or investment decisions on the other.

2) Documentation: Evidence could be found in academic journals, financial periodicals that are well-known to professionals in finance and business, or industry reports.

3) Diversity: Cases gave an example of an industry or context other than one already covered to broaden your knowledge.

2.1. Case 1: Behavioural Biases in Investment Decision-Making

Rohatgi (2021) presents a case study examining how behavioural biases influence investors' decisions in financial markets. The study suggests that cognitive biases such as overconfidence and loss aversion can stray from traditional financial models, influencing portfolio performance and investment outcomes. Investigating the interaction between psychological predisposition and market operation displays intricacies every investor should understand when making choices.

2.2. Case 2: Risk Perception and Decision-Making in Stock Market Trends

According to a study carried out in *Risk Perception and Decision-Making: A Behavioral Finance Approach to Stock Market Trends, n.d.),* the biases above have a combined effect on people's investment decisions, leading investor behaviour to become risky behaviour, and also suggest that markets will then use their experience for no clear purpose. Psychological

factors and stock market movements are the focus of this research. It seeks to discover whether cognitive biases will be responsible for shaping investor behaviour and market trends.

2.3. Case 3: Risk-Adjusted Discount Rates in Capital Budgeting

A fundamental concept in assessing the profitability of investments is the risk-adjusted discount rate. An informative paper by Haktanır and Kahraman (2023) provides a comprehensive overview of this concept. It elucidates how the risk-adjusted discount rate modifies the standard market discount rate to account for the specific risks associated with a given project or investment. Additionally, the article discusses methodologies such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which is employed to calculate these rates. This model incorporates factors such as beta to evaluate the expected returns of a particular investment in relation to the overall market.

3. Research

This section offers an extensive examination of life experiences demonstrating the impact of risk perception and psychological tendencies on capital allocation and investment practices. We aim to uncover the practical intersection of mental influences and conventional economic models by exploring distinctive real-world scenarios.

3.1. Case 1: Behavioural Biases in Investment Decision-Making

Rohatgi (2021) examined the behaviour of individual investors in India and found that psychological factors significantly distort rational financial decision-making. The study utilised survey data that revealed a prevalent overconfidence bias, leading many investors to overestimate their ability to select profitable stocks while neglecting fundamental value metrics. Additionally, loss aversion emerged as a critical factor; investors experienced greater distress from losses than from equivalent gains, resulting in delayed closure of positions for underperforming assets. These psychological tendencies contributed to suboptimal portfolio diversification and heightened exposure to market risk. The findings underscore the dominance of personal beliefs and emotions over technical and financial evaluations, suggesting that education in behavioural finance is essential for enhancing the investment outcomes of retail investors.

3.2. Case 2: Risk Perception and Decision-Making in Stock Market Trends

In a survey of behaviour during periods of market turmoil, focus specifically on the responses of retail investors to extreme volatility within emerging markets. Through qualitative interviews and sentiment analysis, the authors identified herd behaviour and anchoring as essential factors influencing investors during both market rallies and declines. In their experience, they noted that price movements and collective sentiment are the primary concerns of most investors. Simply put: Investors often trade based on hunches rather than calculating their risks rationally. Surprisingly, they found that investors' perception of risk follows the market mood from upswing to downswing. This can cause them to act irrationally, including panic selling and even speculative buying. The case illustrates the weakness of human nature regarding risk appetite and suggests that ongoing siege warfare may be futile in the struggle to change people's thinking (Sravan Kumar. M et al., 2025).

3.3. Case 3: Risk-Adjusted Discount Rates in Capital Budgeting

Risk-Adjusted Discount Rates (RADR) and capital budgeting are discussed by Haktanır and Kahraman (2023). Their article presents instances where project evaluations did not yield favourable outcomes, often due to the misapplication of discounted risk premiums. One notable case outlined in the chapter involves a high-tech company whose management exhibited excessive optimism regarding the volatility of incoming cash flows from a new product line. Instead of employing a project-specific discount rate, they utilised a general corporate Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC). This decision led to speculative financing with overly ambitious return projections, resulting in cost overruns and revenue shortfalls. The case underscores the importance of aligning the discount rate with the specific risks associated with the project, highlighting models such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) that incorporate beta, which reflects efficient, systematic risk.

These examples highlight the influence of behaviour biases and risk perception on investment decisions and capital budgeting procedures. They emphasise the importance of incorporating behavioural finance observations into the conventional financial model to rationalise and improve the efficiency of the decision-making process.

DISCUSSION

The case studies analysed demonstrate that a multiplicity of factors influences financial decision-making under conditions of uncertainty: quantitative models, the natural human mind's tendency to distort reality through a series of cognitive biases that cause us to think wrongly and, therefore, put lives at stake. Unfortunately, this makes our judgments a little more than half right, while three-quarters of people believe they are usually correct. In the concluding section, I compare my findings and previous financial theories or ways of thought. I also look at how these latest discoveries might influence managers and investors.

The Relationship Between Risk Perceptions and Financial Models

Traditional financial theories, such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and the Risk-Adjusted Discount Rate, operate on the premise that decision-makers in pricing are rational (Aswath Damodaran, 2008). However, the cases presented illustrate that this rationality is often compromised in practice. For instance, in Case 3, discount rates were not appropriately adjusted to account for project-specific risk; a generalised Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) was employed. This oversight led to overly optimistic projections of expected returns and resulted in suboptimal capital budgeting decisions (*A Quick Guide to the Risk-Adjusted Discount Rate, n.d.*). Such instances underscore a critical vulnerability inherent in rigid adherence to financial theory, highlighting the necessity for greater consideration of project-specific circumstances.

Behavioral Influences on Risk Perception and Investment Strategy

Exploring the intersection of investment outcomes and behavioural finance reveals that psychological factors play an important role in decision-making. The overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring and herding illustrated in these first two cases can lead to irrational financial choices. These findings are consistent with prior research on behavioural finance:

individuals frequently fail to process danger rationally when they are emotionally engrossed or unclear about the future. (Gervais et al., 2009)

Rohatgi (2021) has observed that investors are often hesitant to realise losses in investments and too quick to take profits from winners — evidence supporting the behavioural concept of loss aversion. Additionally, Sravan Kumar. M et al. (2025) underline the market's inefficient features, deriving from overconfidence and the overreaction effect, as agents on the market tend to herd rather than analyse. This phenomenon resonates with Warren Buffett's insights on the importance of temperament in investing. Buffett asserts that the most crucial attribute for an investor is not intellect but rather temperament. He differentiates between reactive market behaviours and the ability to engage thoughtfully with other investors in real-time, especially in periods of market euphoria and fear (Buffett & Cunningham, 1998;Wiley, 2010).

Implications for Investors and Corporate Managers

As an individual investor, these cases remind us that it is necessary to self-examine our investment decisions. These messages remind us that financial education should be more than simply how to use tools. It should also include a discussion of cognitive biases and decision-making psychology itself. Some tools available for controlling mistakes caused by emotionalism include decision journals, the addition of cool down times, and scheduled portfolio rebalancing. Adapting to that unique risk profile of the project requires corporations to make their capital budgeting procedures flexible, as the misplaced discount rates in Case 3 show. Over-reliance on one uniform corporate Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) means ignoring the risk levels and market conditions associated with every project. For example, managers can consider adopting methods like Capital Project Assessment Models (CPAM), conducting scenario analysis, and subjective adjustments that consider behavioural factors (Aswath Damodaran, 2008;Dempsey, 2015).

Governance is another critical factor. Organisations that foster open communication and encourage questioning of assumptions may be better equipped to identify and address behavioural deviations from optimal decision-making (Musa et al., 2015;(*A Quick Guide to the Risk-Adjusted Discount Rate*, n.d.; *Behavioral Factors In Capital Budgeting - FasterCapital*, n.d.; *Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM): Definition, Formula, and Assumptions*, n.d.; *Fast Tips: Discount Rate Uses in Behavioral Econ*, n.d.; *(PDF) Risk Perception and Decision-Making: A Behavioral Finance Approach to Stock Market Trends*, n.d.-b; *Risk Adjusted Discount Rate: Adjusting for Uncertainty: Risk Adjusted Discount Rates in Capital Budgeting - FasterCapital*, n.d.; *The Psychology of Investing: A Behavioural Economics Perspective on CAPM — QUTEFS - QUT Economics and Finance Society*, n.d.; *The Psychology of Risk: The Behavioral Finance Perspective - The Big Picture*, n.d.; *Understanding Behavioral Aspects of Financial Planning and Investing | Financial Planning Association*, n.d.; Almansour et al., 2023; Asbaruna et al., 2023; Aswath Damodaran, 2008; Biondi & Marzo, 2013; Buffett & Cunningham, 1998; Bunyamin & Abdul Wahab, 2022; Business & Research, 2015a, 2015b; Chen et al., 2010; Décaire et al., 2020; Dempsey, 2015; Fama & French, 2015; Gallagher & Ryan, n.d.; Gervais et al., 2009; Haktanır & Kahraman, 2023b, 2023a; Hathaway Inc, n.d.; Musa et al., 2015; Ricciardi, 2008; Rohatgi, n.d.; Solomon et al., 2000; Sravan Kumar. M et al., 2025; Wiley, 2010).

Theoretical and Practical Integration

This study suggests that an integrated perspective combining financial theory and psychology underlies the findings. Quantitative models, such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Risk-Adjusted Discount Rate (RADR), offer structured methods for estimating risk and return; however, they are not without limitations. By incorporating insights from behavioural finance, investors and managers can navigate real-world complexities, such as psychological responses to risk factors, that traditional models often overlook.

By combining these views, financial decision-makers can construct more resilient strategies, which involve not just the quantification of risk but also its perception and effects on behaviour.

CONCLUSIONS

This article explores how perceptions of uncertainty can sway judgment and presents a conceptual framework depicting the relationship between hazard and monetary or investment selections. It draws on a comprehensive theoretical structure that combines both traditional and behavioural theories. Through literature reviews, case reports, and theoretical dialogues, we demonstrate how the Risk Appraisal Model enables utilizing financial instruments (like CAPM and WACC) to account for hazards. However, it is necessary to note that this representation has constraints, as psychological prejudices can regularly undermine its potency. Real-world examples have revealed that leanings such as exaggerating self-assurance, loss aversion, and herd behaviour can significantly affect how investors and companies form resolutions, often guiding them away from what would be considered optimal fiscal choices. Balancing risk and return is difficult, as emotion and biases frequently overpower rational analysis. While models offer a starting point, accurately anticipating behaviour often proves elusive.

By connecting risk notions to venture outcomes, this analysis spotlights the gaps between theoretical expectations and the realities of risk-taking. It emphasises that fiscal decisions involve more than just crunching the numbers; they require understanding human behaviour, the perception gained from situational judgment, and the flexibility to adapt. For investors and managers, combining the extensive range of quantitative tools available with a realistic understanding of risk is essential to make informed and forward.

REFERENCES

A Quick Guide to the Risk-Adjusted Discount Rate. (n.d.). Retrieved May 26, 2025, from https://www.investopedia.com/articles/budgeting-savings/083116/guide-riskadjusted-discount-rate.asp?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Almansour, B. Y., Elkrghli, S., Ammar, &, Almansour, Y., Yaser Almansour, B., & Almansour, A. Y. (2023). *Behavioral finance factors and investment decisions: A mediating role of risk perception*. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2023.2239032

Asbaruna, L. W. B., Gorib, R. I., & Syifa, M. A. A. (2023). Behavioral Finance In Investment Decisions. *International Journal of Ethno-Sciences and Education Research, 3*(3), 95–98. https://doi.org/10.46336/IJEER.V3I3.460

Aswath Damodaran. (2008). *Strategic Risk Taking: A Framework for Risk Management*. Pearson Education.

Behavioral Factors In Capital Budgeting - FasterCapital. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://fastercapital.com/topics/behavioral-factors-in-capital-budgeting.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Biondi, Y., & Marzo, G. (2013). Decision Making Using Behavioral Finance for Capital Budgeting. *Capital Budgeting Valuation: Financial Analysis for Today's Investment Projects*, 421–444. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118258422.CH22

Buffett, W. E., & Cunningham, L. A. (1998). *The Essays of Warren Buffett: Lessons for Corporate America Essays by Selected, Arranged, and Introduced by THE ESSAYS OF WARREN BUFFETT: LESSONS FOR CORPORATE AMERICA Essays by*.

Bunyamin, M., & Abdul Wahab, N. (2022). The Influence of Financial Behaviour on Financial Risk Tolerance In Investment Decision: A Conceptual Paper. *International Journal of Industrial Management*, *14*(1), 529–542. https://doi.org/10.15282/ijim.14.1.2022.7435

Business, G., & Research, M. (2015a). A Conceptual Framework for Enterprise Risk Management performance measure through Economic Value Added. In *An International Journal* (Vol. 7, Issue 2).

Business, G., & Research, M. (2015b). A Conceptual Framework for Enterprise Risk Management performance measure through Economic Value Added. In *An International Journal* (Vol. 7, Issue 2).

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM): Definition, Formula, and Assumptions. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/capm.asp?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Chen, W. P., Chung, H., Hsu, T. L., & Wu, S. (2010). External financing needs, corporate governance, and firm value. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, *18*(3), 234–249. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1467-8683.2010.00801.X

Décaire, P. H., Gilje, E. P., Roberts, M. R., Taylor, L. A., Abel, A. B., van Binsbergen, J., Catherine, S., Glode, V., Kihlstrom, R. E., Roussanov, N., Schwert, M., & Stambaugh, R. F. (2020). *Capital Budgeting and Idiosyncratic Risk*.

Dempsey, M. (2015). Stock markets, investments and corporate behavior: A conceptual framework of understanding. *Stock Markets, Investments And Corporate Behavior: A Conceptual Framework Of Understanding*, 1–332. https://doi.org/10.1142/P1007

Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2015). A five-factor asset pricing model. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *116*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2014.10.010

Fast Tips: Discount Rate Uses in Behavioral Econ. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/fast-tips-discount-rate-behavioral-econ?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Gallagher, T., & Ryan, P. A. (n.d.). *IMPLIED RISK ADJUSTED DISCOUNT RATES AND CERTAINTY EQUIVALENCE IN CAPITAL BUDGETING*.

Gervais, S., Kent Baker, H., Nofsinger, J. R., & Blackwell, W. /. (2009). *Behavioral Finance: Capital Budgeting and Other Investment Decisions*.

Haktanır, E., & Kahraman, C. (2023a). Intuitionistic fuzzy risk adjusted discount rate and certainty equivalent methods for risky projects. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *257*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108757

Haktanır, E., & Kahraman, C. (2023b). Intuitionistic fuzzy risk adjusted discount rate and certainty equivalent methods for risky projects. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *257*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108757

Hathaway Inc, B. (n.d.). *BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC. SHAREHOLDER LETTERS*.

Musa, H., Musová, Z., & Debnárová, L. (2015). Responsibility in The Corporate Governance Framework and Financial Decision Making Process. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *23*, 1023–1029. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00371-8

(PDF) Risk Perception and Decision-Making: A Behavioral Finance Approach to Stock Market Trends. (n.d.-a). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391637221_Risk_Perception_and_Decision-Making_A_Behavioral_Finance_Approach_to_Stock_Market_Trends

(PDF) Risk Perception and Decision-Making: A Behavioral Finance Approach to Stock Market Trends. (n.d.-b). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391637221_Risk_Perception_and_Decision-Making_A_Behavioral_Finance_Approach_to_Stock_Market_Trends

Ricciardi, V. (2008). The Psychology of Risk: The Behavioral Finance Perspective. *Handbook of Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470404324.HOF002010

Risk adjusted Discount Rate: Adjusting for Uncertainty: Risk adjusted Discount Rates in Capital Budgeting - FasterCapital. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.fastercapital.com/content/Risk-adjusted-Discount-Rate--Adjusting-for-Uncertainty--Risk-adjusted-Discount-Rates-in-Capital-Budgeting.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Rohatgi, G. (n.d.). Behavioral Biases in Investment Decision-Making: A Case Study. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, *2021*(8), 175–180. Retrieved May 25, 2025, from www.jsaer.com

Solomon, J. F., Solomon, A., Norton, S. D., & Joseph, N. L. (2000). A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR CORPORATE RISK DISCLOSURE EMERGING FROM THE AGENDA FOR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORM. *The British Accounting Review*, *32*(4), 447–478. https://doi.org/10.1006/BARE.2000.0145

Sravan Kumar. M, Himadeep. N, Yaseen. SMD, & Dr. D. Rajesh Babu. (2025). Risk perception and Decision-Making: A Behavioral Finance Approach to Stock Market Trends . *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications*, *14*(5).

The Psychology of Investing: A Behavioural Economics Perspective on CAPM — QUTEFS - QUT Economics and Finance Society. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.qutefs.com.au/publications/the-psychology-of-investing-a-behavioural-economics-perspective-on-capm?utm_source=chatgpt.com

The Psychology of Risk: The Behavioral Finance Perspective - The Big Picture. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://ritholtz.com/2015/07/the-psychology-of-risk-the-behavioral-finance-perspective/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Understanding Behavioral Aspects of Financial Planning and Investing | Financial Planning Association. (n.d.). Retrieved May 25, 2025, from https://www.financialplanningassociation.org/article/journal/MAR15-understanding-behavioral-aspects-financial-planning-and-investing

Wiley, J. (2010). *WARREN BUFFETT ON BUSINESS PRINCIPLES FROM THE SAGE OF OMAHA R I C H A R D J. C O N N O R S*. http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.